Review of Futures Markets (RFM)
ISSN: 1933-7116
Volume 34 Issue 2 (2026)
Website: https://fra.st

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Strategic Decision-Making

Dr. Victoria M. Ellsworth
Department of Strategic Management and Digital Innovation, Northbridge School of Business, Boston, United States

Dr. Markus A. Reinhardt
Centre for Artificial Intelligence and Executive Decision Sciences, Helvetia Institute of Technology, Zurich, Switzerland

Submission: 25.02.2025; Acceptance: 14.04.2025; Publication: 05.07.2026

Executive Summary

Artificial intelligence has moved from a support technology for reporting and automation to a core capability for forecasting, pattern recognition, scenario analysis, and decision augmentation in enterprises. Recent systematic literature reviews conclude that AI now materially improves information processing, forecasting, and managerial responsiveness, while also creating new governance demands around explainability, trust, accountability, and human oversight.

From 2010 to 2025, the center of gravity in enterprise analytics shifted from structured-data machine learning toward hybrid environments that combine classical predictive models, deep learning, intelligent support systems, and AI-powered business intelligence. Reviews covering strategic decision-making, predictive models, and intelligent support systems consistently show that Random Forest, Support Vector Machines, Gradient Boosting Machines, Convolutional Neural Networks, and Long Short-Term Memory networks have become foundational model families because they support different kinds of strategic inference, from classification and risk scoring to time-series forecasting and image-based operational monitoring.

Recent review evidence also points to measurable business impact. One 2025 systematic literature review on AI-powered business intelligence reports reductions in manual data processing of 70 percent, forecasting improvements of 35 to 50 percent, managerial efficiency gains of 50 percent, and strategic-error reductions of 30 percent in enterprise decision support contexts.[cite:2] In manufacturing, predictive maintenance literature documents meaningful reductions in unplanned downtime through AI-enabled monitoring and forecasting, while finance-oriented fraud detection research shows sustained improvements in anomaly detection accuracy and decision speed versus traditional rule-based approaches.

The central implication is not that AI replaces executives; rather, it changes the structure of strategic work. The strongest evidence supports a hybrid model in which AI expands the speed, breadth, and consistency of analysis while human leaders retain responsibility for value judgments, ethical trade-offs, context interpretation, and final accountability.

Introduction

Strategic decision-making involves selecting long-term courses of action under conditions of uncertainty, complexity, and incomplete information. Traditionally, this process depended on managerial experience, domain expertise, and descriptive business intelligence; however, AI has significantly expanded the capacity of firms to detect weak signals, model nonlinear relationships, forecast multiple futures, and optimize choices under dynamic conditions.

The modern strategic AI stack integrates data ingestion, feature engineering, predictive modeling, prescriptive analytics, visualization, and human-AI interaction. Reviews published in 2024 and 2025 describe this shift as a movement from passive dashboards and retrospective reporting toward active decision-support ecosystems that continuously monitor data streams and recommend actions.

This white paper synthesizes findings from recent systematic literature reviews and related review-based sources to explain how AI changed strategic decision-making between 2010 and 2025. It focuses on four requirements set by current literature: understanding the evolution of predictive models, grounding claims in business performance metrics, using rigorous review methodology such as PRISMA, and designing an implementation roadmap for AI-driven decision support systems.

Scope and Methodological Lens

The literature underpinning this paper is anchored in recent systematic and comprehensive reviews published in 2024 and 2025, with several studies explicitly following PRISMA or PRISMA 2020 guidelines. These reviews synthesize evidence from enterprise decision-making, AI-powered business intelligence, predictive modeling in strategy, and intelligent support systems.

PRISMA matters for strategic AI research because it imposes transparency on search strategy, inclusion criteria, screening decisions, and qualitative synthesis. The 2025 AI-powered business intelligence review states that it examined 120 high-quality research articles using PRISMA, while the 2025 intelligent support systems review evaluated 124 peer-reviewed articles published between 2013 and 2023 under PRISMA 2020 criteria.

For a white paper intended for decision-makers, the value of PRISMA is practical as well as academic. It helps distinguish robust patterns from selective case anecdotes, improves reproducibility, and clarifies where evidence remains thin, especially in areas such as longitudinal performance tracking, executive trust calibration, and real-world governance implementation.

Evolution of Predictive Models, 2010-2025

2010-2014: Structured Machine Learning for Risk and Classification

In the early 2010s, enterprise AI adoption was dominated by structured-data techniques used for classification, ranking, and tabular prediction. Strategic applications during this period typically centered on customer segmentation, churn prediction, credit scoring, fraud screening, and supply-chain risk assessment, areas where high-quality structured datasets were available and managerial questions could be expressed as probabilistic classification tasks.

Support Vector Machines and early ensemble methods became prominent because they offered strong performance on moderate-sized datasets and high-dimensional feature spaces. SVMs were especially useful where the strategic problem involved separating rare but high-value signals, such as suspicious transactions or high-risk customer cohorts, while tree-based methods improved interpretability and enabled easier communication of drivers to non-technical decision-makers.

2014-2018: Ensemble Learning and Operational Forecasting

As enterprise data volumes increased and cloud-based processing matured, Random Forest and Gradient Boosting Machines gained wider adoption. Recent review literature identifies these models as central to the maturation of AI-enhanced strategy because they offered better nonlinear modeling, robustness to noisy enterprise data, and strong predictive accuracy across functions such as demand forecasting, pricing optimization, and maintenance planning.

Random Forest became particularly important for strategic use cases requiring variable importance, stability, and reduced overfitting. Its ability to aggregate many decision trees made it attractive for portfolio-style decision environments in which executives needed reliable ranking and classification rather than opaque single-model outputs.

Gradient Boosting Machines advanced the field further by improving predictive power through sequential error correction. In strategic contexts, GBM-family models proved valuable where decision quality depended on finely distinguishing among near-similar outcomes, such as retention risk, fraud likelihood, default probability, or equipment failure windows.

2016-2021: Deep Learning Expansion

The expansion of deep learning widened the range of data types that could inform strategy. Convolutional Neural Networks extended predictive intelligence into image-rich settings such as quality inspection, visual anomaly detection, retail shelf monitoring, and document analysis, enabling operational decisions that were previously difficult to automate with handcrafted features.

Long Short-Term Memory networks became influential because strategic decision-making increasingly relied on temporal prediction rather than static classification. Reviews identify LSTM models as especially relevant for sales forecasting, financial trend analysis, demand sensing, equipment degradation prediction, and scenario monitoring because they can represent sequential dependencies and changing patterns over time.

This period also marked a conceptual shift: AI was no longer only optimizing local operational tasks but feeding strategic planning cycles through continuous forecasting, early-warning indicators, and scenario-based recommendations. Intelligent support system reviews note that enterprise platforms moved away from rigid rule-based architectures toward neural and hybrid systems with greater adaptability and real-time responsiveness.

2021-2025: Hybrid, Explainable, and Decision-Centric AI

By the early 2020s, the frontier moved from standalone model performance to integrated decision systems. The most recent reviews emphasize hybrid architectures that combine classical machine learning, deep learning, decision-support workflows, explainability tools, and human-AI collaboration models.

This shift reflects a strategic reality: executive decisions require more than raw prediction. They demand explanation, uncertainty communication, governance, and alignment with organizational objectives. The review on predictive models explicitly identifies the black-box dilemma as a persistent barrier and highlights SHAP and LIME as important explainability mechanisms for making advanced models usable in strategic settings.

At the same time, AI-powered BI reviews show that predictive analytics is now embedded within enterprise data pipelines, dashboards, and DSS environments rather than used as isolated experiments. As a result, the strategic value of models increasingly depends on integration quality, feedback loops, and organizational adoption rather than algorithm choice alone.

Predictive Model Families

Random Forest

Random Forest is an ensemble learning method that builds multiple decision trees and aggregates their outputs to improve stability and generalization. The reviewed literature associates Random Forest with customer behavior analysis, market segmentation, financial planning, and predictive maintenance because it performs well on heterogeneous enterprise data and offers feature-importance signals that support managerial interpretation.

For strategic decision-making, its strength lies in balancing performance and relative interpretability. This makes it useful when business leaders must justify why a recommendation emerged, such as why a supplier is classified as high risk or which operational variables most influence failure probability.

Support Vector Machines

Support Vector Machines seek decision boundaries that maximize separation between classes, making them effective for high-dimensional and sparse problems. Review evidence places SVMs among the core early predictive techniques used in strategic analytics, particularly for fraud screening, risk classification, and segmentation tasks where minority classes matter and signal separation is difficult.

Their limitations are practical rather than conceptual. As organizations moved toward very large-scale and multimodal data, SVMs became less central than ensemble and deep learning methods, yet they remain relevant in carefully engineered decision pipelines where precision and boundary clarity matter.

Gradient Boosting Machines

Gradient Boosting Machines improve prediction by iteratively correcting residual errors from prior learners. Systematic review evidence identifies GBM as one of the most capable model families for high-stakes business forecasting because it captures nonlinear interactions and often delivers top-tier predictive performance on structured enterprise data.

Strategically, GBM is valuable in domains where small gains in discrimination produce large economic returns, such as fraud scoring, risk-based pricing, inventory planning, and failure prediction. Its main challenge is governance: executives often need model explanation layers and monitoring frameworks before fully trusting boosted systems in material decisions.

Convolutional Neural Networks

CNNs are designed to learn hierarchical spatial patterns and therefore expanded strategic analytics into domains where images, scanned documents, sensor maps, or computer-vision signals affect management choices. Review evidence links CNNs to anomaly detection, quality inspection, and richer operational intelligence in environments where visual data became strategically relevant.

Their importance to decision-making is indirect but consequential. By turning unstructured visual information into usable indicators, CNNs improve the evidence base for strategic choices around quality, asset reliability, loss prevention, and customer experience.

Long Short-Term Memory Networks

LSTMs are recurrent neural architectures built to capture long-range dependencies in sequences. The review literature identifies them as especially useful for forecasting-oriented decision systems because they can model demand patterns, financial trends, machine degradation trajectories, and other time-dependent signals that influence planning quality.

In strategic settings, LSTM models support rolling forecasts, early-warning systems, and dynamic planning under volatility. Their adoption reflects the broader movement from static annual planning toward continuously updated, data-driven decision cycles.

Performance Metrics Across Industries

Retail

Retail decision-making increasingly combines predictive analytics, visual monitoring, and anomaly detection to support pricing, inventory, promotion, fraud prevention, and loss reduction. Review evidence indicates that AI improves forecasting accuracy and decision responsiveness, while sector-specific sources on retail fraud and loss prevention describe growing use of machine learning for transaction monitoring and suspicious-pattern detection.

Recent systematic review evidence does not support treating every isolated case statistic as universally generalizable, but it does support the broader pattern that predictive analytics improves retail decision quality through faster pattern recognition and more adaptive operational responses. This is most valuable in omnichannel settings where strategic trade-offs depend on rapidly changing customer behavior and demand signals.

Finance

Finance offers some of the clearest evidence of AI's strategic effect because fraud detection, credit assessment, and risk monitoring create measurable prediction tasks with immediate economic consequences. Review and sectoral sources show that AI-based fraud systems outperform many traditional methods in detection sensitivity and adaptability by learning from complex transactional behavior rather than relying only on static rules.

The user-provided example of 36 percent fraud detection improvement is directionally consistent with the literature's claim that machine learning materially strengthens fraud analytics, but available review evidence is more reliable when expressed as improvement in predictive accuracy, F1-score, or anomaly-detection effectiveness than as one universal percentage across all institutions. Accordingly, this white paper treats the 36 percent figure as an illustrative benchmark rather than a sector-wide constant unless an implementation-specific source is supplied.

Manufacturing

Manufacturing is one of the strongest domains for operationally grounded AI decision support because equipment data, sensor streams, and maintenance logs produce actionable predictions. Review literature on AI-driven predictive maintenance consistently reports reduced unplanned downtime, better maintenance scheduling, and improved resource allocation through machine learning, IoT, and real-time analytics.

The example of a 16 percent downtime reduction aligns with the general evidence base, although the accessible review sources retrieved here more often describe ranges and directional improvements than a single universally validated figure. A concrete industry case reviewed in an implementation-focused source reports predictive maintenance models with F1 scores from 0.70 to 0.85, precision of 0.90, and recall of 0.60, illustrating how manufacturing leaders increasingly evaluate AI systems through operational classification metrics as well as business outcomes.

Performance Indicators Table

Domain	Strategic AI Use Case	Indicative Reported Outcome	Evidence Note
Enterprise BI	Predictive analytics and DSS	Forecasting accuracy improved by 35% to 50%; managerial efficiency increased by 50%; strategic errors reduced by 30%	From a 2025 PRISMA-based review of 120 articles
Enterprise BI	Automation and RPA	Manual data processing reduced by 70%; manual errors reduced by 80%; workflow efficiency accelerated by 60%	Review-level synthesis, not a single-firm case
Finance	Fraud detection	AI methods outperform traditional approaches in fraud and risk detection effectiveness	Sector evidence is strong, but single percentage claims vary by dataset and institution

Manufacturing	Predictive maintenance	Downtime and maintenance costs reduced; scheduling improved	Review sources support direction and significance more strongly than one universal number
Manufacturing	Failure prediction model quality	F1 score 0.70-0.85, precision 0.90, recall 0.60	Implementation case illustrating evaluation practice

AI-Powered Business Intelligence Methodologies

The current literature frames AI-powered business intelligence as more than dashboard modernization. It is an architectural and methodological transformation in which AI automates data preparation, detects patterns, generates forecasts, improves visualization, and delivers decision recommendations within enterprise workflows.

A PRISMA-grounded review methodology is essential for evaluating these systems because AI-enabled BI spans multiple disciplines, including information systems, machine learning, management, and operations. The 2025 review on AI-powered BI explicitly uses PRISMA to synthesize evidence from 120 high-quality articles, enabling a structured assessment of automation, predictive analytics, decision support, visualization, and RPA outcomes.

PRISMA-Based Review Process for AI-BI

A rigorous AI-BI review process should include the following stages, consistent with PRISMA logic described in the current review literature:

1. **Question formulation**: Define the strategic problem, the decision context, the target industry, and the class of AI interventions to be examined.
2. **Search strategy**: Query multiple academic databases using controlled combinations of AI, business intelligence, decision support, predictive analytics, and sector-specific terms.
3. **Inclusion and exclusion criteria**: Screen for peer-reviewed relevance, methodological clarity, empirical grounding, and direct connection to decision quality or business performance.
4. **Quality assessment**: Evaluate internal validity, data source quality, performance metrics, explainability provisions, and evidence of deployment in live organizational settings.
5. **Synthesis**: Aggregate findings by model type, decision domain, performance outcome, and governance implications rather than by algorithm alone.
6. **Interpretation**: Translate findings into practical implications for executive adoption, systems integration, risk management, and capability building.

Core Methodological Building Blocks

Recent review evidence suggests that strong AI-powered BI systems share several methodological elements:

- High-quality data pipelines that integrate historical and real-time information sources.

- Predictive analytics embedded inside DSS workflows rather than delivered as isolated reports.
- Human-AI interaction mechanisms that support trust, interpretation, and escalation.
- Governance controls for transparency, accountability, and responsible use.
- Feedback loops that track model performance, drift, adoption, and business impact over time.

Human-AI Collaboration in Strategy

The most important finding across the current review literature is that AI contributes greatest value when treated as a decision-augmentation system rather than an autonomous strategic actor. The 2025 systematic review comparing AI and humans in strategic decision-making concludes that AI is strong in information processing, data analysis, communication support, and uncertainty handling, but human expertise remains critical for intuition, ethical judgment, creativity, and contextual interpretation.

This hybrid view is reinforced by the intelligent support systems review, which highlights trust calibration, transparency, and user-centered evaluation as major unresolved issues. In practice, this means the quality of strategic decision-making depends not only on predictive accuracy but on whether decision-makers understand model limits, know when to override system recommendations, and can justify choices to regulators, boards, employees, and customers.

A useful design principle is therefore layered responsibility. AI should generate insights, rank scenarios, and flag anomalies; managers should test assumptions, weigh non-quantifiable factors, and retain accountability for the final strategic decision.

Risks and Constraints

Explainability and Trust

The black-box problem remains one of the most cited obstacles to AI adoption in strategic contexts. Reviews of predictive models and intelligent support systems both stress that executives are less likely to rely on systems whose logic cannot be meaningfully explained, especially when decisions affect capital allocation, fraud interventions, workforce planning, or safety.

Data Quality and Drift

AI quality is inseparable from data quality. Because strategic systems often combine transactional, operational, market, and external signals, errors in integration, feature definition, or refresh cadence can lead to misleading forecasts and poor decisions, a risk amplified in volatile business conditions.

Ethical and Regulatory Governance

Recent reviews consistently warn that strategic decision support must align with organizational values, regulatory obligations, and responsible-AI principles. Ethical judgment, fairness, accountability, and transparency remain areas where human oversight is essential, especially as AI outputs become more influential in high-stakes domains such as finance and workforce management.

Evaluation Gaps

Review of Futures Markets (RFM)
ISSN: 1933-7116
Volume 34 Issue 2 (2026)
Website: https://fra.st

Although current literature reports many positive outcomes, the intelligent support systems review notes a notable deficiency in longitudinal performance evaluation. Many studies demonstrate technical promise without tracking whether decision quality, user trust, and organizational value persist over long periods after deployment.

Roadmap for Integrating AI-Driven Decision Support Systems

Phase 1: Strategic Prioritization

The first step is to identify decision domains where AI can create measurable value and where outcomes can be observed reliably. Review evidence suggests starting with domains such as forecasting, anomaly detection, predictive maintenance, fraud screening, and resource allocation because they combine strategic importance with accessible performance metrics.

Executives should define the target decision, the expected business outcome, the time horizon, and the acceptable level of automation. This framing prevents technology-first adoption and keeps model development aligned with actual strategic priorities.

Phase 2: Data and Architecture Readiness

Organizations need a reliable data foundation before model selection becomes meaningful. AI-powered BI reviews emphasize integrated data pipelines, real-time accessibility, and automation of preparation and transformation as prerequisites for effective decision support.

At this phase, firms should audit data quality, establish ownership, standardize key metrics, and design architecture for ingestion, storage, model serving, and monitoring. The objective is to ensure that decision systems operate on trusted and current information rather than fragmented departmental data.

Phase 3: Model Portfolio Design

Model choice should be governed by decision context rather than novelty. Random Forest and GBM often remain strong options for structured enterprise prediction; SVM may suit high-dimensional classification problems; CNN and LSTM become relevant when visual or temporal data materially affects the decision.

A portfolio approach is usually superior to an all-purpose model strategy. Strategic organizations benefit from matching model families to use cases and then evaluating them through accuracy, robustness, interpretability, latency, and operational fit.

Phase 4: Human-Centered Deployment

Deployment should embed AI outputs in managerial workflows rather than requiring leaders to interpret raw model scores in isolation. Reviews on strategic AI and intelligent support systems both imply that adoption improves when systems present recommendations, explanation cues, uncertainty signals, and escalation paths inside familiar dashboards and processes.

Training is part of deployment, not an afterthought. Managers need to understand what the model predicts, what data it uses, how performance is measured, and under what conditions human override is expected.

Phase 5: Governance and Assurance

Responsible integration requires formal governance. At minimum, organizations should define approval rights, model documentation standards, bias testing routines, drift monitoring, audit trails, and incident escalation mechanisms.

This is where explainability tools and review boards become essential. The literature indicates that systems are more likely to deliver sustained strategic value when transparency and accountability are designed in from the start rather than added after adoption risks emerge.

Phase 6: Continuous Learning and Scaling

After initial deployment, firms should treat AI-driven decision support as an evolving capability. Review evidence suggests that the greatest value emerges when organizations measure business outcomes continuously, compare model recommendations with realized results, and update systems as market conditions, data patterns, and strategic priorities changes. Scaling should therefore proceed in waves: pilot, validate, institutionalize, and extend to adjacent decision domains. This reduces risk while allowing the organization to build technical competence, governance maturity, and executive trust.

2010-2025 Advancement Timeline

Period	Primary Advancement	Strategic Meaning
2010-2014	Growth of SVM and early ensemble methods for structured prediction	AI begins improving classification-heavy strategic tasks such as risk, fraud, and segmentation
2014-2018	Expansion of Random Forest and GBM in enterprise forecasting	Better nonlinear prediction improves pricing, planning, and operational decision quality
2016-2021	CNN and LSTM adoption expands AI into visual and temporal data	Strategy becomes informed by images, sequences, and real-time monitoring rather than only tabular history
2021-2025	AI becomes embedded in BI, DSS, and hybrid human-AI systems	Decision-making shifts from descriptive reporting to continuous augmentation and guided action

Strategic Implications for Executives

The cumulative evidence from recent reviews supports three executive conclusions. First, AI meaningfully improves the analytical substrate of strategic decision-making by increasing speed, scale, and predictive quality.

Second, enterprise value depends less on adopting the newest model than on integrating AI into workflows, governance, and human decision routines. The literature repeatedly shows that responsible deployment, explainability, and organizational readiness are decisive for sustained gains.

Third, strategic advantage now comes from organizational learning as much as from algorithms. Firms that can continuously convert data into reliable insight, insight into action, and action into feedback will use AI not only to optimize operations but to strengthen strategic adaptability itself.

Conclusion

Between 2010 and 2025, AI changed strategic decision-making from a mainly descriptive, human-centered activity into a hybrid analytical process supported by predictive models, intelligent support systems, and AI-powered business intelligence. The strongest review evidence shows progress in forecasting, automation, responsiveness, and analytical consistency, with especially strong applications in finance, retail, and manufacturing.

The evolution of model families from SVM and Random Forest to GBM, CNN, and LSTM mirrors a deeper transition in how firms reason about uncertainty. Strategy is increasingly informed by pattern discovery across structured, visual, and temporal data streams rather than by static historical reporting alone.

Yet the literature is equally clear that strategic decision-making should not be handed over wholesale to machines. The highest-performing approach is a governed human-AI partnership in which AI expands analytical reach and humans retain responsibility for judgment, ethics, and organizational alignment.