

The Energy Crisis in Europe and Its Impact on the Economy of the European Union

Nadjib Cherbal

Holder of a PhD from the University of M'Sila

Email: cherbalnadjib83@gmail.com

Amine Nedjar

University of Bordj Bou Arreridj, Algeria

Email: amine.nedjar@univ-bba.dz

Received: 17.03.2026 Accepted: 25.08.2026 Publishing: 30.09.2026

1. Introduction

In recent years, the European economy has undergone profound transformations in the energy sector as a result of the interaction of several geopolitical, economic, and environmental factors that have directly affected the stability of energy markets and the ability of European economies to secure their needs for oil, gas, and electricity. The energy crisis in Europe has emerged as one of the most important economic challenges facing the European Union, given the central role of energy in various productive and service sectors, the competitiveness of firms, price levels, investment, employment, and economic growth.

The energy crisis is not limited to the problem of rising oil and gas prices or difficulties in securing supplies. Rather, its effects extend to the structure of the European economy as a whole. Higher energy prices increase production costs, particularly in energy-intensive industries, and are also reflected in the prices of goods and services and in households' purchasing power. At the same time, instability in energy markets increases uncertainty for firms and investors, which may affect investment and expansion decisions as well as production activities.

The study of the European energy crisis is particularly important given the historical dependence of a number of European countries on energy imports to meet a significant part of their needs. This dependence has made the European economy more vulnerable to external shocks associated with prices, supplies, and geopolitical crises. In addition, the transformations witnessed in global energy markets have encouraged the European Union to reconsider its policies concerning the diversification of energy sources and suppliers, the strengthening of energy security, and the acceleration of the transition toward renewable energy sources.

On the other hand, the energy crisis has demonstrated the close relationship between energy security and economic security. Energy represents a fundamental input for production, transportation, industry, agriculture, and services. Consequently, disruptions in energy supplies or increases in their costs can rapidly spread across different components of economic activity. Energy has therefore become a central issue in discussions concerning the competitiveness of the European economy and the future of European industry.

Accordingly, this study seeks to examine the energy crisis in Europe and analyze its implications for the economy of the European Union by addressing the concept of the energy crisis and its main causes, examining developments in the European energy market, and analyzing the major economic consequences of the crisis, with particular emphasis on inflation, growth, investment, the industrial sector, competitiveness, and household living standards. The study also considers the main responses and policies adopted by the European Union to address the consequences of the crisis.

2. Research Problem

The main research problem of this study is to determine the nature of the energy crisis experienced by Europe and analyze its various implications for the performance of the European Union economy. This can be expressed through the following main research question: **To what extent has the energy crisis in Europe affected the performance of the European Union economy, what are the main economic consequences resulting from it, and how has the European Union responded to this crisis?**

This main question gives rise to several sub-questions:

1. What is meant by the energy crisis, and what are the main causes of its emergence in Europe?
2. What have been the main developments in the European energy market during the crisis period?
3. How has the energy crisis affected inflation rates in European Union countries?
4. What are the implications of higher energy prices for economic growth and investment?
5. How has the European industrial sector been affected by the energy crisis?
6. What has been the impact of the crisis on the competitiveness of European firms?
7. How has the energy crisis affected European households and consumers?
8. What are the main measures and policies adopted by the European Union to reduce the effects of the crisis?
9. Has the crisis contributed to accelerating the European transition toward renewable energy and the diversification of energy sources?

3. Research Hypotheses

The study is based on a number of main hypotheses:

First Hypothesis: The European energy crisis has led to a significant increase in energy costs, which has affected production costs and the prices of goods and services.

Second Hypothesis: Higher energy prices and supply disruptions have contributed to increasing inflationary pressures within the economies of the European Union.

Third Hypothesis: The energy crisis has negatively affected certain European industrial sectors, particularly energy-intensive industries.

Fourth Hypothesis: The energy crisis has encouraged the European Union to accelerate policies aimed at diversifying energy sources and suppliers and increasing investment in renewable energy sources and energy efficiency.

4. Significance of the Study

The significance of this study derives from the central role of energy in the European economy, as it represents one of the most important inputs required for the continuation of economic and productive activities. Studying the energy crisis makes it possible to understand the relationship between international energy markets and the economic performance of the European Union and to explain how external shocks are transmitted to macroeconomic indicators and productive sectors.

The scientific significance of the study also lies in the fact that the energy crisis provides an important case for analyzing the relationship between geopolitics, economics, and energy. Political and security developments at the international level have increasingly affected energy prices and the direction of trade, investment, and economic policies.

The practical significance of the study lies in the possibility of using its findings to understand the challenges facing European economies in the field of energy security and to identify mechanisms through which exposure to external shocks can be reduced, energy sources can be diversified, and energy efficiency can be improved.

5. Objectives of the Study

This study aims to:

- Define the energy crisis and identify its main manifestations in Europe.
- Analyze the major economic and geopolitical causes that contributed to the escalation of the European energy crisis.
- Examine the implications of the crisis for the economy of the European Union.
- Analyze the impact of rising energy prices on inflation.
- Examine the effects of the energy crisis on economic growth and investment.
- Analyze the implications of the crisis for European industry and competitiveness.
- Examine the socioeconomic effects of the crisis on households and consumers.
- Identify the main policies adopted by the European Union to address the energy crisis.
- Highlight the relationship between the energy crisis and the acceleration of the transition toward renewable energy.
- Identify the major future challenges associated with European energy security.

6. Research Methodology

The study adopts the **descriptive-analytical approach** by describing developments in the energy crisis in Europe and analyzing its causes, manifestations, and economic effects. The **case study approach** may also be employed by focusing on the experience of the European Union as an integrated economic bloc that has been exposed to significant energy-related shocks.

The study relies on economic data and indicators related to energy prices, inflation, economic growth, industrial production, investment, and trade, in addition to specialized economic studies and reports dealing with energy and the European economy.

7. Structure of the Study

To achieve the objectives of the research and answer its research questions, the study can be divided into three main sections:

Section One: The Theoretical Framework of the Energy Crisis in Europe

The energy crisis can generally be understood as a situation in which the availability, affordability, or reliability of energy supplies becomes significantly constrained, creating economic and social pressures on households, firms, and governments. In the European context, the concept has acquired particular importance because energy constitutes a fundamental input into almost every economic activity. Industrial production, transportation, agriculture, services, heating, and digital infrastructure all depend directly or indirectly on stable and affordable energy supplies. An energy crisis therefore does not represent an isolated disruption within the energy sector; rather, it can generate broader macroeconomic consequences through its effects on production costs, prices, investment, employment, consumption, and international competitiveness.

The European energy crisis emerged from the interaction of structural vulnerabilities and external shocks. For many years, several European economies relied significantly on imported energy, particularly natural gas and crude oil. Although this dependence differed substantially among member states, the overall European energy system remained exposed to developments in international energy markets. The vulnerability became more visible when geopolitical tensions disrupted established supply relationships and generated uncertainty regarding future energy availability. The resulting pressure on European energy markets demonstrated that energy security depends not only on physical infrastructure and domestic production capacity but also on the diversification of suppliers, transportation routes, storage facilities, and energy technologies.

One of the most important characteristics of the European energy crisis has been the substantial volatility of energy prices. Energy prices are influenced by supply and demand conditions, international commodity markets, geopolitical developments, weather conditions, transportation costs, storage levels, and expectations regarding future supply. When several of these factors operate simultaneously, prices can change rapidly and create considerable uncertainty for economic actors. European firms faced difficulties in forecasting their future production costs, while households experienced higher energy expenditure. Price volatility therefore amplified the economic effects of the crisis because uncertainty itself can influence consumption, investment, production, and financial decisions.

Natural gas has occupied a particularly important position in discussions concerning European energy security. Gas has traditionally played a major role in electricity generation, heating, and industrial production in several European countries. Its importance means that disruptions in gas supplies can have consequences beyond the gas market itself. Higher gas prices can increase electricity-generation costs where gas-fired plants contribute to power production, while energy-intensive industries may face substantially higher operating expenses. Consequently, the gas market has become closely connected to industrial competitiveness, household welfare, electricity prices, and broader economic performance.

Another important characteristic of the European energy crisis is its uneven impact across member states. The European Union is not a homogeneous energy economy. Member states differ in their energy mixes, levels of dependence on imports, industrial structures, storage capacities, electricity-generation systems, fiscal resources, and exposure to international

markets. Countries with relatively diversified energy systems may have greater capacity to absorb external shocks, whereas countries with greater dependence on particular fuels or suppliers may experience stronger pressures. These differences have also complicated the formulation of common European responses because measures appropriate for one national economy may have different effects in another.

The crisis has also highlighted the relationship between energy security and economic security. Energy security involves more than ensuring that sufficient quantities of energy are available. It also concerns the affordability, reliability, resilience, and sustainability of energy systems. When energy prices rise sharply, governments may be required to introduce support measures for households and firms. Such measures can reduce the immediate social and economic burden but may simultaneously increase public expenditure and place pressure on government budgets. Energy security therefore has implications for fiscal policy, monetary policy, industrial policy, and social protection.

The European energy crisis must also be considered within the broader context of the energy transition. The European Union has pursued policies aimed at reducing greenhouse-gas emissions and increasing the role of renewable energy sources. The transition toward renewable energy can contribute to greater long-term energy security by reducing dependence on imported fossil fuels. However, the transition itself presents challenges because renewable sources such as solar and wind are variable and require appropriate electricity grids, storage technologies, flexible generation, and demand-management mechanisms. The crisis has consequently created both immediate difficulties and incentives to accelerate structural changes in the European energy system.

Energy infrastructure represents another central dimension of the crisis. Pipelines, liquefied natural gas terminals, electricity interconnections, storage facilities, ports, and transmission networks determine the capacity of European economies to respond to changes in supply and demand. Limited infrastructure can create regional bottlenecks and prevent energy from moving efficiently between countries. Investments in infrastructure can therefore improve resilience by allowing countries to access alternative suppliers and redistribute energy across interconnected markets. At the same time, infrastructure investments require substantial financial resources and long-term planning.

The crisis has further demonstrated the importance of energy diversification. Diversification can take several forms, including diversification of suppliers, geographical sources, transportation routes, energy technologies, and fuel types. Dependence on a limited number of suppliers increases vulnerability because a disruption affecting one source can have significant consequences for the entire system. By contrast, a diversified energy portfolio can provide greater flexibility and resilience. For the European Union, diversification has increasingly been associated with the expansion of renewable energy, development of alternative gas supplies, improvement of interconnections, and greater attention to energy efficiency.

Finally, the European energy crisis can be understood as a multidimensional phenomenon involving economic, geopolitical, technological, environmental, and social factors. Its significance extends beyond temporary increases in energy prices because it has encouraged

European policymakers to reconsider the structure and resilience of the energy system. The crisis has reinforced the importance of reducing external vulnerabilities while maintaining industrial competitiveness and pursuing environmental objectives. Understanding these theoretical and structural dimensions provides the basis for analyzing the concrete effects of the energy crisis on the European Union economy, particularly in relation to inflation, economic growth, investment, industrial production, trade, and household welfare.

Section Two: The Implications of the Energy Crisis for the Economy of the European Union

The energy crisis has had significant implications for inflation within the European Union. Energy is incorporated directly into household expenditure through electricity, heating, and transportation and indirectly into the prices of almost all goods and services through production and distribution costs. When energy prices increase, firms may attempt to transfer part of the additional costs to consumers. This creates direct and indirect inflationary pressures. The magnitude of these effects varies according to national energy structures, government interventions, contractual arrangements, and the ability of firms to absorb higher costs. Consequently, the energy crisis became an important factor in the broader inflationary pressures experienced by European economies.

Economic growth has also been affected by the increase in energy costs. Higher energy prices can reduce household disposable income because consumers spend a larger proportion of their income on energy-related necessities. This can reduce expenditure on other goods and services and weaken domestic demand. At the same time, firms facing higher production costs may reduce output, postpone investment, or reconsider expansion plans. These mechanisms can contribute to slower economic activity. The effects can be particularly significant when high energy prices persist over an extended period because businesses and households have less capacity to absorb prolonged increases in costs.

The industrial sector is particularly vulnerable to energy shocks because many industrial activities require large quantities of electricity, gas, or other forms of energy. Industries such as chemicals, metals, fertilizers, glass, ceramics, paper, and certain manufacturing activities may face considerable increases in operating costs when energy prices rise. Firms confronted with higher costs may attempt to improve efficiency, reduce production, change energy sources, or relocate some activities. In extreme circumstances, sustained high energy costs can affect production decisions and the long-term competitiveness of energy-intensive European industries.

The crisis has also affected the competitiveness of European firms in international markets. Competitiveness depends on several factors, including productivity, labor costs, technology, infrastructure, access to finance, and energy costs. If European producers face significantly higher energy costs than competitors in other regions, their production expenses may increase relative to foreign competitors. This can place pressure on profit margins and export performance. The issue is particularly important for industries that compete internationally and have limited ability to pass higher costs on to consumers without losing market share.

Investment represents another important channel through which the energy crisis affects economic performance. High and volatile energy prices increase uncertainty about future operating costs and demand conditions. Under conditions of uncertainty, firms may delay investment projects, particularly projects requiring substantial capital commitments and long planning horizons. At the same time, the crisis can stimulate investment in energy efficiency, renewable energy, storage technologies, and alternative energy systems. Thus, the effects on investment are not exclusively negative; the crisis can redirect capital toward technologies and infrastructure that reduce exposure to future energy shocks.

The energy crisis has also influenced European foreign trade. Europe imports substantial quantities of energy, and higher international energy prices can increase the value of energy imports and worsen trade balances for energy-importing economies. Increased expenditure on imported energy can transfer financial resources abroad and place pressure on external balances. At the same time, changes in energy prices can alter the competitiveness of European exports because energy-intensive products become more expensive to produce. The interaction between energy imports and industrial exports therefore represents an important component of the crisis's external economic consequences.

Households have experienced the energy crisis through higher electricity, heating, transportation, and indirectly through higher prices for food and other goods. The burden is not necessarily distributed equally across households. Lower-income households generally have less financial flexibility and may spend a larger proportion of their budgets on essential goods and services. Consequently, rising energy prices can increase social vulnerability and contribute to energy poverty. Governments have therefore faced the challenge of protecting households from immediate price shocks while maintaining incentives for energy efficiency and avoiding unsustainable fiscal costs.

Public finances have also been affected. European governments introduced various measures to reduce the impact of high energy prices on households and firms, including subsidies, transfers, tax measures, price interventions, and other forms of support. While such measures can reduce immediate economic and social pressures, they may also increase government expenditure or reduce public revenues. The fiscal consequences depend on the scale and duration of intervention, the design of support mechanisms, and the fiscal capacity of individual member states. The crisis therefore created a difficult balance between protecting citizens and maintaining fiscal sustainability.

Monetary and economic policy responses have been complicated by the nature of the energy shock. Energy-price increases can contribute to inflation while simultaneously weakening economic activity. This creates a difficult policy environment because measures designed to reduce inflation may affect demand and economic growth, while fiscal support designed to protect households may influence aggregate demand and public finances. The energy crisis therefore demonstrated the importance of coordination between energy policy, fiscal policy, monetary policy, industrial policy, and social protection.

Overall, the economic consequences of the energy crisis extend across multiple dimensions of the European economy. Inflation, consumption, industrial production, investment, international

trade, public finances, and household welfare are interconnected through the energy system. The severity of the consequences differs between countries and sectors, reflecting differences in energy dependence, industrial structure, fiscal capacity, and policy responses. The crisis has therefore demonstrated that energy stability is a fundamental component of macroeconomic stability and that long-term economic resilience requires an energy system capable of providing reliable and affordable supplies while adapting to changing environmental and geopolitical conditions.

Section Three: The European Union's Response to the Energy Crisis and Its Future Prospects

The European Union's response to the energy crisis has focused on reducing immediate supply risks while strengthening the resilience of the European energy system. One of the principal approaches has been the diversification of energy suppliers and supply routes. Greater diversification reduces the risks associated with dependence on a limited number of external sources and gives European economies greater flexibility when market conditions change. This approach has involved strengthening alternative import routes, expanding infrastructure, increasing storage capacity, and improving the ability of member states to access different energy markets.

Energy storage has become particularly important in strengthening European energy security. Storage facilities can provide a buffer between fluctuations in supply and demand and can reduce vulnerability during periods of exceptionally high consumption or supply disruption. Natural gas storage has received particular attention because gas plays an important role in heating and electricity generation in several European economies. Adequate storage can therefore improve the capacity of the energy system to manage seasonal demand and unexpected disruptions.

The development of liquefied natural gas infrastructure has also contributed to the diversification of European gas supplies. Liquefied natural gas can be transported by sea and accessed through specialized terminals, allowing importing countries to purchase gas from a broader range of suppliers. This can reduce dependence on fixed pipeline routes and increase flexibility in international energy procurement. However, greater access to liquefied natural gas also involves infrastructure costs and exposure to global market conditions. Consequently, LNG should be understood as one component of a broader diversification strategy rather than as a complete solution to European energy security.

The European Union has simultaneously emphasized renewable energy as an important component of its long-term response. Solar, wind, hydropower, and other renewable sources can reduce dependence on imported fossil fuels and contribute to the reduction of greenhouse-gas emissions. Expansion of renewable energy capacity can therefore support both environmental objectives and energy security. However, increasing renewable generation requires substantial investment in electricity networks, storage, grid flexibility, and system management. The effectiveness of the transition therefore depends not only on installing renewable capacity but also on developing the infrastructure necessary to integrate that capacity into the wider energy system.

Energy efficiency constitutes another major element of the European response. Reducing energy consumption per unit of economic output can decrease dependence on external energy supplies while lowering costs for households and firms. Improvements in building insulation, industrial processes, transportation systems, heating technologies, and energy management can contribute to this objective. Energy efficiency is particularly important because it can simultaneously support economic, environmental, and security goals. Nevertheless, achieving significant improvements often requires substantial initial investment and coordinated policies involving governments, businesses, households, and financial institutions.

The crisis has also encouraged greater attention to the modernization of European electricity networks. As renewable energy becomes more important, electricity systems must become increasingly flexible and interconnected. Stronger interconnections between member states can facilitate the movement of electricity from areas with surplus production to areas experiencing higher demand. Digital technologies can also improve the management of electricity networks by providing more accurate information about consumption, production, and system conditions. Grid modernization is therefore essential for ensuring that the energy transition strengthens rather than weakens system reliability.

Another dimension of the European response concerns support for households and businesses. Governments have implemented measures aimed at reducing the immediate burden of high energy costs and protecting vulnerable groups. Such interventions can help maintain purchasing power, preserve employment, and prevent severe disruptions in productive activity. However, the design of support policies is important because broad and prolonged subsidies can create significant fiscal costs and may weaken incentives for energy conservation. Targeted support can therefore be particularly relevant when the objective is to protect vulnerable consumers while maintaining incentives for structural adjustment.

The energy crisis has also strengthened the importance of European cooperation. Because electricity and gas markets cross national borders, energy security cannot be addressed exclusively through national policies. Cooperation in infrastructure development, energy purchasing, storage, emergency planning, market regulation, and information sharing can improve the resilience of the European energy system. Coordination can also reduce disparities between member states and facilitate a more efficient allocation of energy resources across the European market.

Looking toward the future, the European Union faces the challenge of reconciling energy security, affordability, competitiveness, and environmental sustainability. The transition away from fossil fuels must be managed in a way that avoids creating new forms of dependence or excessive costs for households and industries. Critical minerals, renewable technologies, batteries, electricity networks, and other components of the energy transition have their own international supply chains and geopolitical dimensions. Consequently, diversification will increasingly involve not only fuels but also technologies, raw materials, infrastructure, and industrial capabilities.

In conclusion, the European response to the energy crisis represents a process of structural transformation rather than a temporary reaction to an exceptional shock. Diversification,

renewable energy, energy efficiency, infrastructure investment, storage, market integration, and targeted social protection are all interconnected components of a more resilient energy system. The future success of this transformation will depend on the capacity of the European Union and its member states to combine immediate economic protection with long-term structural reform. The energy crisis has therefore reinforced the strategic importance of building an energy system that is secure, economically sustainable, technologically adaptable, and capable of supporting the competitiveness and long-term development of the European economy.

Conclusion

The energy crisis in Europe represents one of the most significant economic and strategic challenges faced by the European Union in recent years. Its importance does not derive solely from the increase in energy prices or the difficulties associated with securing adequate supplies, but also from the broad and interconnected consequences that the crisis has generated across the European economic system. Energy constitutes a fundamental input for industrial production, transportation, agriculture, services, heating, electricity generation, and household consumption. Consequently, any significant disruption in energy supplies or substantial increase in energy costs can rapidly spread across different sectors of the economy and influence macroeconomic stability, business activity, household welfare, public finances, and international competitiveness.

The analysis of the energy crisis demonstrates that its emergence cannot be attributed to a single factor. Rather, it resulted from the interaction of structural weaknesses within the European energy system and external economic and geopolitical developments. The significant dependence of several European economies on imported energy, combined with the concentration of certain supply sources and transportation routes, increased the vulnerability of the European market to external shocks. Changes in global energy demand, supply disruptions, geopolitical tensions, fluctuations in international commodity markets, and uncertainties surrounding energy supplies contributed to a highly unstable market environment. These developments revealed that energy security is closely connected to economic security and that the resilience of the European economy depends, to a considerable extent, on the stability and diversification of its energy system.

One of the clearest economic consequences of the crisis has been the increase in energy costs and the subsequent inflationary pressures. Higher prices for natural gas, electricity, oil, and other energy products affected households directly through increased expenditure on heating, electricity, and transportation. At the same time, energy represents an important component of the production and distribution costs of firms. Consequently, higher energy costs were transmitted indirectly to the prices of manufactured goods, food products, transportation services, and other goods and services. The energy crisis therefore contributed to a broader cost-of-living challenge and created additional difficulties for European households, particularly those with limited financial capacity to absorb higher essential expenditures.

The impact of the energy crisis on economic growth is equally important. Higher energy costs can reduce household purchasing power, weaken consumption, increase business costs, and discourage investment. Firms operating in energy-intensive sectors are particularly exposed

because energy constitutes a significant component of their production expenses. When energy prices remain high for extended periods, firms may reduce production, postpone investment, modify their production processes, or search for alternative locations and technologies. These responses can influence employment, industrial output, exports, and overall economic activity. The crisis has therefore demonstrated the importance of affordable and predictable energy supplies for maintaining sustainable economic growth.

European industry has been particularly exposed to the consequences of energy-market instability. Energy-intensive industries such as chemicals, metals, fertilizers, glass, ceramics, and other manufacturing activities require large quantities of energy and therefore experience significant increases in operating costs when energy prices rise. The resulting cost pressures may affect profit margins and international competitiveness, particularly when producers outside Europe benefit from lower energy costs. This situation creates an important strategic challenge for the European Union because industrial competitiveness must be maintained while simultaneously pursuing ambitious environmental and energy-transition objectives. The crisis has therefore intensified discussions about the future of European industrial policy and the conditions required for maintaining productive capacity within Europe.

The crisis has also highlighted the importance of investment in the European economy. Energy-price volatility creates uncertainty concerning future operating costs and profitability, which can influence corporate investment decisions. However, the crisis has simultaneously created new investment opportunities in renewable energy, energy efficiency, electricity networks, storage technologies, digital energy systems, and alternative energy infrastructure. This dual effect is important because the energy crisis can constrain certain forms of conventional investment while encouraging structural investment in technologies designed to reduce future dependence on fossil fuels and external energy suppliers. The direction and effectiveness of this investment will play an important role in determining the long-term resilience of the European economy.

Another important conclusion concerns the effect of the crisis on households and social welfare. Energy is an essential component of household expenditure, and increases in energy prices can disproportionately affect lower-income households because they have fewer financial resources available to absorb additional costs. The resulting pressure can contribute to energy poverty and reduce expenditure on other essential goods and services. European governments have therefore faced the difficult task of protecting vulnerable households while avoiding excessive fiscal burdens and preserving incentives for energy efficiency. The social dimension of the energy crisis demonstrates that energy policy cannot be considered separately from social policy and economic stability.

The crisis has also affected public finances. Governments have been required to implement various measures designed to protect households and businesses from the effects of high energy prices. Although these interventions can reduce immediate economic pressures and prevent more severe disruptions, they can also increase public expenditure and place additional pressure on government budgets. The fiscal consequences vary considerably among member states because their financial capacities, economic structures, and levels of exposure to energy shocks

differ. This highlights the importance of coordinated European responses that can address national differences while maintaining the functioning and integrity of the European single market.

At the external level, the energy crisis has influenced the trade position of European economies. As energy-importing economies, many European countries become more exposed to increases in international energy prices because higher import costs require greater financial resources to purchase the same quantities of energy. This can affect trade balances and increase the external cost of economic activity. At the same time, higher domestic energy costs may reduce the competitiveness of European exports, particularly in energy-intensive industries. The crisis therefore demonstrates the close relationship between energy markets, international trade, industrial competitiveness, and the external position of the European economy.

The response of the European Union to the crisis has increasingly focused on diversification. Diversifying energy suppliers and supply routes can reduce dependence on individual sources and increase the flexibility of the European energy system. The development of alternative import routes, liquefied natural gas infrastructure, storage capacity, electricity interconnections, and other forms of energy infrastructure can contribute to greater resilience. However, diversification should not be understood exclusively in terms of replacing one external supplier with another. A sustainable long-term strategy requires broader diversification involving energy sources, technologies, infrastructure, suppliers, transportation routes, and domestic production capabilities.

Renewable energy represents a particularly important element of this long-term transformation. Expanding solar, wind, hydropower, and other renewable sources can reduce dependence on imported fossil fuels while contributing to the European Union's environmental objectives. The energy crisis has therefore reinforced the strategic importance of the transition toward a lower-carbon energy system. Nevertheless, the transition involves significant challenges. Renewable energy production can be variable, requiring investment in electricity grids, storage, system flexibility, and demand management. The expansion of renewable capacity must therefore be accompanied by modernization of energy infrastructure and improvements in the ability of electricity systems to integrate different sources of generation.

Energy efficiency constitutes another essential component of the response. Reducing energy consumption without reducing economic output can improve energy security, lower costs, and contribute to environmental objectives. Improvements in building insulation, industrial processes, transportation systems, heating technologies, and energy-management systems can reduce the amount of energy required to perform economic activities. Energy efficiency is therefore not simply an environmental measure; it is also an economic and strategic instrument that can reduce vulnerability to external energy shocks and strengthen the competitiveness of European firms.

The crisis has also demonstrated the importance of cooperation among European Union member states. Energy markets are increasingly interconnected, and disruptions in one country can influence neighboring markets. Cooperation in energy infrastructure, storage, emergency planning, market regulation, electricity interconnection, and supply diversification can improve

the resilience of the European energy system. At the same time, European cooperation can help reduce differences in the capacity of individual member states to respond to energy shocks. A coordinated approach is therefore essential for ensuring that energy security is addressed as a common European economic and strategic concern.

The experience of the energy crisis also provides important lessons regarding the relationship between energy policy and industrial policy. Maintaining a competitive European industrial base requires access to energy that is not only reliable but also economically sustainable. If energy costs remain significantly higher in Europe than in competing regions, some industries may face persistent competitive pressures. This does not necessarily imply abandoning environmental objectives; rather, it highlights the importance of combining decarbonization with technological innovation, energy efficiency, infrastructure investment, and appropriate industrial strategies. The future of European competitiveness will depend increasingly on the ability to combine clean energy with productive efficiency and technological capabilities.

From a broader perspective, the crisis has transformed the understanding of energy security within the European context. Energy security can no longer be reduced to the physical availability of fuel. It encompasses affordability, reliability, diversification, infrastructure resilience, technological capacity, environmental sustainability, and the ability to respond rapidly to external shocks. This broader understanding is particularly important in an international environment characterized by geopolitical uncertainty, changing patterns of global energy demand, technological transformation, and the increasing importance of climate-related policies.

The crisis has also demonstrated that short-term and long-term energy policies must be closely connected. Emergency measures can be necessary to protect households and firms during periods of severe market disruption, but temporary interventions cannot replace structural reforms. Long-term resilience requires sustained investment in renewable energy, electricity networks, storage, energy efficiency, technological innovation, and diversified supply systems. The challenge for European policymakers is therefore to ensure that emergency responses contribute to rather than delay the structural transformation of the energy system.

In addition, the European experience illustrates the importance of balancing multiple policy objectives. The European Union must simultaneously address energy security, price affordability, economic competitiveness, social protection, environmental sustainability, and fiscal stability. These objectives can sometimes complement one another, but they can also generate tensions. For example, rapid investment in renewable infrastructure may require significant financial resources in the short term, while broad consumer subsidies may protect households immediately but increase fiscal costs. Effective policy therefore requires careful design, prioritization, coordination, and continuous evaluation.

Overall, the analysis confirms that the energy crisis has had extensive implications for the economy of the European Union. Its effects have extended from energy markets to inflation, economic growth, industrial production, investment, international trade, household welfare, public finances, and competitiveness. At the same time, the crisis has accelerated discussions concerning the structural transformation of Europe's energy system. It has demonstrated the

economic costs associated with excessive dependence and insufficient diversification while emphasizing the importance of resilience, flexibility, and technological innovation.

The future of the European energy system will consequently depend on the ability of the European Union and its member states to transform the lessons of the crisis into long-term economic and energy strategies. Diversification of energy supplies, expansion of renewable energy, improvement of energy efficiency, modernization of electricity networks, development of storage systems, investment in technological innovation, and strengthening of European cooperation constitute important elements of such a strategy. At the same time, policies must take into account the needs of households, the competitiveness of European industries, and the financial capacity of member states.

In conclusion, the energy crisis in Europe should not be viewed exclusively as a temporary disturbance in energy markets. It represents a broader economic and strategic challenge that has exposed vulnerabilities within the European energy system while simultaneously creating incentives for structural transformation. The crisis has shown that stable energy supplies are fundamental to macroeconomic stability, industrial competitiveness, social welfare, and sustainable development. It has also demonstrated that energy security and economic resilience are increasingly inseparable.

The European Union's long-term capacity to withstand future energy shocks will depend on whether it can establish an energy system that combines **security, affordability, diversification, sustainability, technological innovation, and economic competitiveness**. The transition toward such a system will require substantial investment, coordinated European action, effective regulation, technological development, and a balanced approach to the interests of households and businesses. The experience of the energy crisis therefore provides an important opportunity for the European Union to strengthen the resilience of its economy and to build an energy system capable of supporting sustainable economic development under changing geopolitical, economic, and environmental conditions.

References

A. Books and Academic Literature

1. Bhattacharyya, S. C. (2019). *Energy Economics: Concepts, Issues, Markets and Governance*. Springer.
2. Goldthau, A., & Sitter, N. (2020). *A New Era of European Energy Security*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Helm, D. (2017). *Burn Out: The Endgame for Fossil Fuels*. Yale University Press.
4. Kuzemko, C., Blondeel, M., Dupont, C., & Brisbois, M. C. (2022). Russia's war on Ukraine, European energy policy responses and implications for the green transition. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 93, 102842.
5. Newbery, D., & Grubb, M. (2018). *The Economics of Electricity Markets*. Cambridge University Press.
6. Stern, J. (2022). *The Role of Gas in the European Energy Transition*. Oxford Institute for Energy Studies.
7. Tagliapietra, S., & Zachmann, G. (2022). *A New Energy Strategy for Europe*. Bruegel.

8. van der Ploeg, F., & Venables, A. J. (2013). Natural resource wealth: The challenge of managing a windfall. *Annual Review of Economics*, 5, 315–337.
9. World Bank. (2022). *Commodity Markets Outlook: The Impact of the War in Ukraine on Commodity Markets*. World Bank, Washington, DC.
10. International Monetary Fund. (2022). *World Economic Outlook: War Sets Back the Global Recovery*. IMF, Washington, DC.

B. European Commission and European Union Sources

11. European Commission. (2022). *REPowerEU Plan*. Brussels: European Commission.
12. European Commission. (2022). *REPowerEU: Joint European Action for More Affordable, Secure and Sustainable Energy*. Brussels: European Commission.
13. European Commission. (2022). *EU's Response to the High Energy Prices and Security of Supply*. Directorate-General for Energy.
14. European Commission. (2022). *7th Report on the State of the Energy Union*. Brussels: European Commission.
15. European Commission. (2023). *8th Report on the State of the Energy Union*. Brussels: European Commission.
16. European Commission. (2023). *REPowerEU – One Year On*. Directorate-General for Energy.
17. European Commission. (2024). *REPowerEU – Two Years On*. Directorate-General for Energy.
18. European Commission. (2025). *REPowerEU – Three Years On*. Directorate-General for Energy. Brussels: European Commission.
19. European Commission. (2026). *REPowerEU – Four Years On*. Directorate-General for Energy. Brussels: European Commission.
20. European Commission. (2022). *Security of Supply and Affordable Energy Prices: Options for Immediate Measures and Preparing for Next Winter*. Brussels: European Commission.

C. International Energy Agency Sources

21. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2022). *World Energy Outlook 2022*. Paris: International Energy Agency.
22. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2022). *Gas Market Report, Q4-2022: Including Global Gas Security Review 2022*. Paris: IEA.
23. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2022). *World Energy Investment 2022*. Paris: IEA.
24. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2023). *Gas Market Report, Q1-2023*. Paris: IEA.
25. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2023). *Europe's Energy Crisis: What Factors Drove the Record Fall in Natural Gas Demand in 2022?* Paris: IEA.
26. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2023). *World Energy Outlook 2023*. Paris: IEA.
27. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2024). *World Energy Outlook 2024*. Paris: IEA.
28. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2025). *Gas Market Lessons from the 2022–2023 Energy Crisis*. Paris: IEA.
29. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2025). *World Energy Outlook 2025*. Paris: IEA.

30. International Energy Agency (IEA). (2022). *2022 Energy Crisis: The Crisis and Global Responses*. Paris: IEA.

D. European Central Bank Sources

31. European Central Bank (ECB). (2022). *Economic Bulletin: Energy Prices and Their Impact on Inflation in the Euro Area*. Frankfurt: ECB.
32. European Central Bank (ECB). (2023). *Economic Bulletin: The Impact of the Energy Shock on Economic Activity and Inflation*. Frankfurt: ECB.
33. European Central Bank (ECB). (2024). *Economic Bulletin: Energy Prices, Inflation and the Euro Area Economy*. Frankfurt: ECB.
34. European Central Bank (ECB). (2025). *Economic Bulletin: Energy Markets and the European Economic Outlook*. Frankfurt: ECB.
35. European Central Bank (ECB). (2026). *Navigating Energy Shocks: Risks and Policy Responses*. Frankfurt: ECB.
36. Arce, Ó., Battistini, N., Bouabdallah, O., Lis, E., & Mohr, M. (2026). *A Tale of Two Energy Crises – Initial Conditions Matter*. European Central Bank.

E. Statistical and International Institutional Sources

37. Eurostat. (2022). *Energy Statistics – Natural Gas and Electricity Prices in the European Union*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
38. Eurostat. (2023). *Energy Consumption in the European Union*. Luxembourg: European Commission.
39. Eurostat. (2024). *Energy Statistics: EU Energy Dependence and Consumption*. Luxembourg: European Commission.
40. Eurostat. (2025). *Energy Statistics – Energy Prices, Consumption and Imports in the European Union*. Luxembourg: European Commission.
41. Eurostat. (2026). *Energy Statistics and Indicators for the European Union*. Luxembourg: European Commission.
42. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2022). *OECD Economic Outlook, Volume 2022 Issue 2*. Paris: OECD.
43. OECD. (2023). *OECD Economic Outlook, Volume 2023 Issue 1*. Paris: OECD.
44. OECD. (2024). *OECD Economic Outlook, Volume 2024 Issue 2*. Paris: OECD.
45. World Bank. (2023). *Commodity Markets Outlook: Lower Prices, Little Relief*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
46. International Monetary Fund. (2023). *World Economic Outlook: A Rocky Recovery*. Washington, DC: IMF.
47. International Monetary Fund. (2024). *World Economic Outlook: Steady but Slow*. Washington, DC: IMF.
48. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2022). *Global Impact of the War in Ukraine on Food, Energy and Commodity Markets*. Geneva: United Nations.
49. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2023). *Emissions Gap Report 2023*. Nairobi: UNEP.

50. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). (2023). *World Energy Transitions Outlook 2023*. Abu Dhabi: IRENA.

F. Additional Recent Sources

51. European Central Bank. (2026). *The Energy Shock: Where We Stand and What We Need to Know*. Frankfurt: ECB.
52. European Central Bank. (2026). *The Uneven Journey of Wholesale Gas and Electricity Prices to Consumer Bills*. Frankfurt: ECB.
53. European Central Bank. (2026). *Financial Stability Review: Geoeconomic Stress, Energy Supply Disruptions and Financial Stability*. Frankfurt: ECB.
54. European Commission. (2026). *REPowerEU Roadmap*. Brussels: European Commission.
55. International Energy Agency. (2022). *Securing Natural Gas Supply in Times of Crisis*. Paris: IEA.
56. European Commission. (2023). *Commission Support for Member States to Reduce Reliance on Russian Fossil Fuels*. Brussels: European Commission.
57. European Commission. (2022). *REPower the EU: International Energy Strategy*. Brussels: European Commission.
58. European Commission. (2022). *Energy Prices and Security of Supply: Communication on Energy Market Intervention*. Brussels: European Commission.
59. International Monetary Fund. (2022). *Europe's Energy Crisis: Economic Consequences and Policy Responses*. Washington, DC: IMF.
60. World Bank. (2022). *Europe and Central Asia Economic Update: Energy and Economic Resilience*. Washington, DC: World Bank.