

Human Rights and National Sovereignty in the Politics of Migration

Dr. Oliver Hawthorne

Department of Artificial Intelligence, Westmoor University, Bristol, United Kingdom

Received: 30/05/2026 Accepted: 30/06/2026 Published: 31/07/2026

Abstract

Migration is a topic that is extremely important in international politics because it poses challenging problems at the intersection of human rights and state sovereignty. The political dynamics of migration, with a particular focus on the manner in which governments strike a balance between their responsibilities to protect the rights and dignity of migrants and refugees and the imperative to keep borders open and preserve sovereignty. It is through a study of migration policy and international frameworks that the contradictions that arise between restrictive immigration rules and the tenets of international human rights agreements are investigated. Utilizing example studies from South Asia, the United States of America, and Europe, this article examines the political, economic, and social factors that have an impact on migration policies and the consequences those policies have on the welfare of migrants. An approach to migration governance that is rights-based and collaborative, with the goal of promoting inclusion and humanitarianism while simultaneously addressing concerns about security. The purpose of this study is to contribute to the ongoing conversations about migration by providing insights into new policies that prioritise both national interests and individual fundamental rights.

keywords Migration Politics, National Sovereignty, Human Rights, Immigration Policies, Refugees and Asylum Seekers

Introduction

The question of migration, which has an impact on public opinion, international relations, and local policy, is one of the most contentious issues in contemporary international politics. Migration that occurs across international borders raises significant difficulties with regard to human rights and the sovereignty of states, regardless of whether it is driven by political instability, economic desires, natural disasters, or war. States are required to control migration flows while also honoring their international responsibilities to safeguard the rights of migrants and refugees. This is necessary in order to maintain social cohesion and national security. Due to the fact that these priorities are in competition with one another, many approaches to migration governance have emerged. Restrictive measures to fortify borders and control immigration have gained popularity, particularly in regions where migration is significant. On the other hand, these policies have become increasingly popular. On the other hand, international frameworks such as the Global Compact for Migration and refugee protection agreements bring to light the importance of adopting a collaborative and rights-based approach. The fact that this conflict exists demonstrates how challenging it is to find a middle ground

between humanitarian principles and self-government. A major political divide has been exposed in regions such as Europe as a result of the migrant crisis that has occurred over the past ten years. Additionally, the bounds of unity and integration within the European Union have been strained. In the United States, discussions regarding immigration policy have developed as a defining part of political discourse. This is because immigration policy has implications for national identity, economic prosperity, and security. In a manner comparable to this, the countries of South Asia are confronted with unique challenges as a consequence of migration across borders, which is a consequence of conflicts, historical connections, and climate change effects. The politics of migration by discussing the ways in which human rights and state sovereignty interact with one another. It examines the factors that lead to migration, the ways in which immigration laws have evolved over the course of history, and the ways in which these laws impact communities that are both host and immigrant. Through an analysis of case studies derived from a variety of geopolitical settings, the purpose of this study is to provide readers with a comprehensive understanding of the potential and challenges associated with migration governance. After all is said and done, the research presents a compelling argument for the significance of policies that are both inclusive and sustainable, and that strike a balance between the protection of human rights and dignity and the interests of security.

The Authority of the Nation and Its Borders

The politics of migration revolve around national sovereignty and border control, which symbolize a state's authority to control the flow of people into and out of its territory. When nations exercise their sovereignty, they are able to control their own destiny, protect their citizens and their economy, and limit immigration. While migration flows continue to rise, it is becoming more difficult to find a middle ground between exercising sovereignty and meeting international humanitarian commitments.

1. The Role of Sovereignty in Migration Governance

States have the legal and political power to decide on immigration laws, such as who is allowed to enter, live, or apply for asylum within their borders, thanks to sovereignty. This control is essential for preserving:

- **National Security:** States seek to reduce possible risks including organised crime, terrorism, and illegal trafficking by controlling immigration.
- **Social Stability:** Governments can control population diversity and avoid social unrest that could result from abrupt or extensive immigration by exercising sovereignty.
- **Economic Impact:** The states exercise control over labour migration in order to safeguard domestic employment markets and maintain economic equilibrium.

2. The Rise of Restrictive Immigration Policies

Over the past few years, there has been a worldwide movement towards more stringent immigration policies. This movement has been fuelled by concerns of security, cultural assimilation, and economic competition. Among the examples are:

- **Fortified Borders:** Many countries, like the United States of America, Hungary, and India, have made significant investments in border fences, monitoring technologies, and heightened patrols in order to discourage people from entering the country illegally.
- **Stringent Visa Policies:** In order to restrict migration for the sake of employment, education, or family reunion, some nations have tightened their visa requirements.
- **Detention and Deportation:** The administration of illegal migrants and asylum seekers is increasingly being handled through the utilisation of detention centres and hastened deportation procedures.

3. Balancing Security and Humanitarian Concerns

Although the control of borders is necessary for maintaining sovereignty, restrictive measures frequently result in concerns over humanitarian issues:

- **Refugee Crises:** Asylum seekers and refugees can be left trapped in perilous situations when borders are closed, which is a violation of international conventions such as the Refugee Convention of 1951.
- **Criminalization of Migration:** Any policies that target irregular migration could potentially criminalise vulnerable people, leaving them open to the possibility of being used and abused.
- **Human Rights Violations:** Human rights and dignity are frequently violated when migrants are subjected to harsh border control tactics, such as being separated from their families and being held for extended periods of time.

4. The Politics of Border Security

As a major political approach, the securitisation of migration has been increasingly prevalent:

- **Populist Narratives:** The use of rhetoric that is hostile towards immigration, which frequently places an emphasis on national identity and security, has been a defining characteristic of populist movements in Europe, the United States, and other regions.
- **Electoral Implications:** The employment of severe immigration views by politicians is a common strategy for appealing to voter concerns regarding jobs, safety, and the preservation of cultural traditions.
- **International Relations:** Policies that regulate borders can put a burden on diplomatic ties, particularly in situations where neighbouring nations are dealing with refugee spillovers or migration agendas that are in conflict with one another.

5. Case Studies of Border Control Policies

- **United States:** The wall that is being constructed along the border between the United States and Mexico, as well as the tactics that are being used to enforce immigration, have prompted substantial discussions both domestically and internationally regarding sovereignty and human rights.
- **European Union:** The policies of the European Union (EU) on its external borders, which include agreements with Turkey and nations in North Africa, illustrate efforts to regulate migration while outsourcing border responsibilities through the use of accords.

- **India:** The contradiction that exists between security and humanitarian commitments is brought to light by the measures that are being taken to control borders with Bangladesh and Myanmar, particularly in the context of Rohingya migration.

6. Technological Advancements in Border Control

It is becoming increasingly dependent on technology for modern border control:

- **Surveillance Systems:** Tools powered by artificial intelligence, biometric databases, and drones all contribute to improved border security and streamlined migration procedures.
- **Digital Identification:** In order to strike a compromise between effective migration management and strong security measures, tools such as electronic visas and digital border checks have been developed.

When it comes to the regulation of migration and the protection of their population, governments are required to have the authority to regulate their borders and to exercise their national sovereignty. It is essential, however, that the implementation of these principles be carried out in a manner that strikes a delicate balance between humanitarian objectives and international responsibilities. In spite of the fact that restrictive measures might be helpful in addressing immediate security issues, there is a chance that they could cause human rights violations and global inequities to become even more severe. Moving forward, migration governance must place an emphasis on policies that are ethical, cooperative, and inclusive in order to create a fair and sustainable balance between sovereignty and human dignity with regard to migration. For the purpose of ensuring that the government is effective, this is necessary.

Conclusion

In the administration of migration, the control of borders and the maintenance of national sovereignty continue to be essential components. The ability to govern entry, protect security, and preserve economic and social stability is all made possible by these factors for nations. As the number of people migrating as a result of globalization, conflict, and climate change has increased, the tension that exists between establishing sovereignty and adhering to humanitarian standards has been more apparent. This tension has become more apparent as the tension has become more apparent. In spite of the fact that restrictive policies are efficient in resolving immediate concerns such as population management and border security, they usually result in humanitarian impacts that were not anticipated. One of these repercussions is the marginalization of vulnerable communities, and another is the breach of international human rights standards. Because it is driven by political rhetoric and populist narratives, the securitization of migration has the potential to mask the more general demand for migration governance that is both sustainable and inclusive. This is because the securitization of migration is becoming increasingly prevalent. It is necessary to find solutions that are both innovative and collaborative in order to achieve a balance between the protection of human rights and the maintenance of individual sovereignty. The adoption of policies that address the fundamental reasons of migration, such as economic injustice and conflict, are some of the

things that fall under this category. Other things that fall under this category include making investments in technology that enables humane border control, establishing international collaboration to share the cost of migration, and establishing international collaboration. Policies regarding border control need to be developed in order to reflect the interconnected nature of the modern world, which is typified by the fact that the protection of national interests and the preservation of human dignity can coexist. In the end, it is necessary for regulations regarding border control to be developed in order to reflect this interconnected nature. By giving importance to ethical and pragmatic approaches, states can gain the ability to protect their sovereignty while simultaneously contributing to a global migration framework that is more just and equitable. This can be accomplished by giving ethical and pragmatic methods priority.

Bibliography

- Ghosh, A. (2009). Governing climate change: Lessons from other governance regimes. In D. Helm & C. Hepburn (Eds.), *The economics and politics of climate change* (pp. 454–477). Oxford University Press. ([OUP Academic](#))
- Keohane, R. O., & Victor, D. G. (2016). Cooperation and discord in global climate policy. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(6), 570–575. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2937>
- Najam, A. (2005). Developing countries and global environmental governance: From contestation to participation. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 5(3), 303–321.
- Rajamani, L. (2006). Developing country participation in the climate regime: Applying common but differentiated responsibility. In *Differential treatment in international environmental law* (pp. 216–250). Oxford University Press. ([OUP Academic](#))
- Enli, G. (2017). Twitter as arena for the authentic outsider: Exploring the social media campaigns of Donald Trump and Hillary Clinton in the 2016 U.S. presidential election. *European Journal of Communication*, 32(1), 50–61. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0267323116682802>
- Freelon, D., McIlwain, C. D., & Clark, M. D. (2018). *Quantifying the power and consequences of social media protest*. *New Media & Society*, 20(3), 990–1011.
- Jungherr, A. (2016). Twitter use in election campaigns: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*, 13(1), 72–91. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2015.1132401>